

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,866	0	9/10/2003	Sarah E. Zeller	200209040-1	5129	
22879	7590	11/16/2004		EXAMINER		
		RD COMPANY	CULLER, JILL E			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				2854		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/659,866	ZELLER ET AL.					
Omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	J				
The MAILING DATE of the	Jill E. Culler	2854	<i>F</i> ~				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au	igust 2004.						
·_ ·							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10,13-18 and 20-33 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,13-18 and 20-22</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 23-32</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 23-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33</u> is/are objected to.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National \$	Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO	-152)				
. apor riologimum bate							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 31-33 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 31, on line 9, the phrase "and the second divider" appears to have been unnecessarily repeated. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,398,712 to George.

With respect to claims 1-2, George teaches a printer collator comprising first means, B1-B5, for selectively separating printer output, see column 3, lines 34-38, the first means comprising a first output tray, B1, and a second output tray, B2, and second means, 57, 62, coupled to the first means for angling and/or rotation the first output tray while the second output tray remains stationary and based on the printer output. See column 3, lines 38-51 and Figure 4.

With respect to claim 3, George teaches the second means includes a controller, which includes third means for generating control signals to control the second means

to facilitate automatic positioning of the first means to selectively separate the physical output. See column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 21.

With respect to claim 4, George teaches the second means includes a curved surface, 57, in communication with the first output tray, said curved surface and positions of said first output tray on said curved surface controllable via a motor which is responsive to said control signals. See column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 21.

With respect to claim 5, George teaches the second means includes adjustable output media guides for facilitating directing said physical output onto an appropriate output tray, B1-B5, and the curved surface is fitted with a curved track, 27, having the first output tray positioned thereon. See column 3, lines 34-65.

With respect to claim 23, George teaches a system for organizing printer output comprising a curved track, 57, having a first end spaced from a second end, compartments, B1-B5, adapted to accommodate printer output media, wherein the compartments extend along the track; a motor in communication with said compartments; and a controller in communication with said motor, said controller generating control signals to said motor to selectively position said compartments about the curved track, 57, to direct said printer output media into a desired one of said compartments. See column 3, lines 34-65 and column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 21.

With respect to claim 24, George teaches a printer capable of organizing printer output comprising a curved, 57, having a first end spaced from a second end, first means, 11, for generating an image on printer output media, compartments, B1-B5, adapted to accommodate said printer output media, said compartments attached to the

Art Unit: 2854

curved track; a motor in communication with said compartments; and a controller in communication with said motor, said controller generating control signals to said motor to selectively position said compartments about the curved track, 57, to direct said printer output media into a desired one of said compartments. See column 3, lines 34-65 and column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 21.

With respect to claim 25, George teaches a method for organizing printer output comprising the steps of: selectively separating printer output amongst output dividers, B1-B5, and facilitating automatic nonlinear positioning of a first output tray, B1, about a curved discontinuous track, 57, based on said printer output. See column 3, lines 34-65 and column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 21.

With respect to claims 26-30, George teaches a collator comprising a first media divider, B1, a second media divider, B2, and a first actuator configured to non-linearly move the first divider while the second divider remains stationary, wherein the first actuator is also configured to non-linearly move the second divider while the first divider remains stationary, the first divider, B1, and second divider, B2, are coupled to a discontinuous curved track, 57, and including a second actuator configured to move the first divider between a first position in which the first divider is operably engaged by the first actuator and a second position in which the first divider is operably disengaged from the first actuator and wherein the first divider and the second divider are configured to be collapsed to enable media to pass over the first divider and the second divider.

See column 3, lines 34-65 and column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 21 and the Figures.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,866 Page 5

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claims 31-32, George teaches a printer comprising a surface, and a collator including a first media divider, B1, a second media divider, B2, and an actuator configured to non-linearly move the first divider and the second divider between a first position in which the first divider and the second divider extend over the surface and a second position in which the first divider and the second divider expose the surface, wherein the first divider, B1, and the second divider, B2, extend parallel to the surface in the first position and the first divider and the second divider extend perpendicular to the surface in the second position. See column 3, lines 34-65 and column 5, line 63 - column 6, line 21 and the Figures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,898,592 to Salgado et al.

With respect to claim 6, George teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1-5 and 23-32 except that said second means includes an output media level sensor in communication with said controller, said third means generating a control signal to said motor effective to position a different output tray in an output path

when said output media level sensor indicates that an output tray currently being filled is full.

Salgado et al. teaches an output media level sensor, in communication with said controller, said third means generating a control signal to said motor effective to position a different output tray in an output path when said output media level sensor indicates that an output tray currently being filled is full. See column 9, line 60 - column 10, line 3 and column 12, lines 1-39.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the output media level sensor of Salgado et al. with the collator of George in order to be able to continue sorting media without operator intervention when a particular tray is filled.

With respect to claim 7, George teaches that said output trays, 35, are positioned approximately perpendicular to said curved track and are rotatable about an axis of said track. See column 2, line 57 - column 3, line 11 and Figures 1 and 4 in particular.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George in view of Salgado et al. as applied to claims 6-7 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,551,680 to Ohmichi et al.

George and Salgado et al. teach all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 6-7, except that the curved track is shaped to enable said output trays to be sufficiently rotated to expose one or more access doors, to expose other printer features, or to selectively disable said collator.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,866 Page 7

Art Unit: 2854

Ohmichi et al. teaches a collator track which is shaped to enable output trays to be moved to enable access to other printer features.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to design the track of George as modified by Salgado et al. to have spacing capabilities, as taught by Ohmichi et al., in order to allow the operator access to the printer for purposes such as removing a paper jam.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over George in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,170,821 to Kubota.

George teaches all that is claimed, as in the above rejection of claims 1-5 and 23-32 except that said second means includes means for selectively engaging or disengaging trays included in said first means to selectively move trays into desired positions.

Kubota teaches means for selectively engaging or disengaging trays to selectively move trays into desired positions. See column 4, lines 51-62.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to design the trays of George to have the engaging and disengaging means of Kubota in order to have more flexibility for the placement of the trays.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 10, 13-18 and 20-22 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2854

9. Claim 33 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach or render obvious a printer as claimed, particularly including an access door which provides a surface which is exposed by the movement of collator media dividers.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 23-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 4,332,377 to DuBois et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,473,223 to Lap, and U.S. Patent No. 5,240,245 to Ademmer et al. each teach a collater having obvious similarities to the claimed subject matter.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/659,866

Page 9

Art Unit: 2854

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill E. Culler whose telephone number is (571) 272-2159. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,866

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jec

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Page 10